



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,660	06/05/2001	Byron Lambert	ACS-58284 (1931P)	7403

24201 7590 12/01/2003

FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
6060 CENTER DRIVE
TENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER

PHAM, HAI CHI

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2861

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/875,660

Applicant(s)

LAMBERT ET AL

Examiner

Hai C Pham

Art Unit

2861

mw

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 6-10, 31-38, 40, 41, 43 and 44 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 11-21, 39 and 42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The following claims are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5:

- Line 12, "said calorimeter controller" should read --said calorimeter control system--, to keep the consistency of the claimed terminology.

Claim 11:

- Line 13, "said calorimeter controller" should read --said calorimeter control system--.

Claim 42:

- Line 12, "said calorimeter controller" should read --said calorimeter control system--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5, 11-21, 39, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5:

- The following limitation “repeating the preceding steps at an interval determined by said calorimeter controller (emphasis added)” at line 12 appears to be ambiguous since one of the referred preceding steps, e.g. “providing a calorimeter control system and a validated thermistor calorimeter”, would not necessary be part of such repetition.

Claim 11:

- Similarly, the following limitation “repeating the preceding steps at an interval determined by said calorimeter controller (emphasis added)” at line 13 appears to be ambiguous since one of the referred preceding steps, namely the very first step of “providing a calorimeter control system and a validated thermistor calorimeter”, would not necessary be part of such repetition.

Claim 42:

- Similarly, the following limitation “repeating the preceding steps at an interval determined by said calorimeter controller (emphasis added)” at line 12 appears to be ambiguous since one of the referred preceding steps, e.g. “providing a calorimeter control system and a validated thermistor calorimeter”, would not necessary be part of such repetition.

Claims 12-21, 39 are dependent from claim 11 above, and are therefore indefinite.

Appropriated correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Annual Book of ASTM Standards (published in 1996).

The "Standard Practice for Use of Calorimetric Dosimetry Systems for Electron Beam Dose Measurements and Dosimeter Calibrations", the non-patent literature document submitted as prior art by Applicants, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention, namely a calorimetric dosimetry system and method for routine calibration and radiation dosage measurement of electron beam radiation source intended for radiation processing applications, the system/method including:

- a radiation source (electron beam radiation source) which is configured to emit a radiation dose, a calorimeter (graphite calorimeter) which is configured to be irradiated by said source with a dose of radiation, a calorimeter controller (not shown) for determining the radiation dosage given to the calorimeter from the difference between the temperature of the calorimeter before (paragraph 8.1.1) and after the irradiation of the calorimeter (paragraph 8.1.5), the temperature difference being a function of the radiation dose received from the radiation source (see section 8, at page 4), wherein the calorimeter controller (not shown) controls the time interval between emitting a radiation dose from the radiation

source and measuring the temperature of the calorimeter (the time of the irradiation and that of the start of the measurement of the temperature after the irradiation being carefully recorded [paragraphs 8.1.4 and 8.1.5] at a predetermined interval as shown in Fig. 3),

- a conveyor system which is configured to receive the medical product and the calorimeter and to convey the product and the calorimeter to the radiation source [paragraph 8.1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-5, 11-14, 18-21, 39, 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Annual Book of ASTM Standards in view of Gschneidner, Jr. et al. (U.S. 5,806,979).

With regard to claims 5, 11, 42, the Annual Book of ASTM Standards further discloses:

- providing a calorimeter control system and a validated thermistor calorimeter having a validated resistance-temperature calibration relationship and a validated temperature-dosage calibration relationship (paragraph 7.2.2), measuring an initial calorimeter temperature (T_1), irradiating the calorimeter with a dose of radiation from

a radiation source, measuring a subsequent calorimeter temperature before significant heat loss has occurred (T_2) (paragraphs 8.1.1 to 8.1.5), determining the radiation dose (D_M) using a calculated temperature difference between sand initial temperature and subsequent temperature measurements, and using said resistance-temperature and said temperature-dosage calibration relationships (paragraph 8.1.9), repeating the preceding steps at an interval determined by the user (paragraph 7.5), irradiating said medical product with a dose of radiation from the radiation source (paragraphs 8.3.4 to 8.3.5), and reporting said determined radiation dose,

However, the Annual Book of ASTM Standards fails to teach the above repetition being performed by a computer-controlled automatic controller, and the recalibration routine.

Gschneidner, Jr. et al. discloses a calorimetric system and method for monitoring a calorimeter temperature by reading the resistance of a thin film resistor (sensor 24) over a specified temperature interval, the calorimeter temperature monitor being performed automatically by a data collection software (col. 7, lines 1-55).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the data collection software to perform an automatic control for monitoring the calorimeter temperature as taught by Gschneidner, Jr. et al. into the method listed in the Annual Book of ASTM Standards. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow a precise determination of the temperature difference as well as accurate measurement of the radiation dose to be performed.

With regard to claims 12-14, 18-21, 39, the Annual Book of ASTM Standards further teaches:

- the step of measuring a subsequent calorimeter temperature occurs within about 30 minutes after irradiating the calorimeter (see Fig. 3),
- the step of measuring a subsequent calorimeter temperature occurs within about 15 minutes after irradiating the calorimeter (see Fig. 3),
- the irradiating step comprising movement of the validated thermistor calorimeter from a starting position to an ending position by a conveyor along a route (paragraph 8.1),
- the controlled radiation source comprises a high dose-rate source (electron beams in the energy range from 4 to 12 MeV) (paragraph 1.2),
- the radiation dose is between about 0.1 kGy to about 100 kGy, or between about 2 kGy to about 70 kGy, or between about 3 kGy to about 40 kGy (the radiation dose range shown at paragraph 1.3 is well within the claimed ranges),
- the high dose-rate radiation source is an electron radiation source effective to provide a dose of electron beams radiation (paragraph 1.2),
- said calorimeter is a thermistor calorimeter and said measuring steps comprise a step of contacting said thermistor calorimeter with a resistance measuring device (paragraph 8.3.2).

8. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Annual Book of ASTM Standards in view of Gschneidner, Jr. et al., as applied to claims 11, 14 above, and further in view of Douglas-Hamilton et al. (U.S. 4,812,663).

The Annual Book of ASTM Standards, as modified by Gschneidner, Jr. et al., discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the conveyor having a close-loop route.

Douglas-Hamilton et al. discloses a calorimetric does monitor for ion implantation equipment, where the determination of the radiation dosage is based on the difference of temperature of the calorimeter before and after the irradiation of the calorimeter, the temperature difference is function of the radiation dosage received from the radiation source, and wherein the calorimeter controller controls the time interval between emitting a radiation dose from the radiation source and measuring the temperature of the calorimeter (the determination of the temperature being determined as a function of time) (col. 2, lines 16-24). Douglas-Hamilton et al. further teaches a disk conveyor system (11) for receiving the workpiece (12).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the close-loop route conveyor as taught by Douglas-Hamilton et al. into the modified device disclosed in the Annual Book of ASTM Standards. The motivation for doing so would have been to allow a series of the radiation dosage measurements to be performed to provide accurate results.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 6-10, 31-38, 40-41, 43-44 are allowed.
10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the primary reason for the indication of the allowability of the claimed invention is the inclusion of the limitation "wherein the dosimetry control method comprises causing the radiation source to provide a dose of radiation and measuring the temperature of the calorimeter at an interval determined by the calorimeter controller based on the dose of radiation provided", in the combination as currently claimed in each of the claims 6-10, 31-38, 40-41, 43-44, and which is not found taught or fairly suggested by the prior arts made of record, considered alone or in combination.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 09/22/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claims 1-5, 11-21, 39 and 42.

Contrary to Applicants' arguments regarding the Annual Book of ASTM Standards not disclosing a controller that controls the time interval between emitting a radiation dose and measuring the temperature of the calorimeter, the Annual Book of ASTM Standards does specify the time of the irradiation as well as the time of the

Art Unit: 2861

measurement of the subsequent temperature being recorded in a controlled manner, since without such controlling means the timing and recording would not be done correctly.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1281. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (8:30-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin R. Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722, (703) 308-7724, (703) 308-7382, (703) 305-3431, (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.



HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

November 24, 2003